



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

First Advantage Bancshares, Inc.

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	James G. Amundson	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	3195055
CPP Funds Received:	\$1,177,000	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	\$0	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	57596
Date Funded (first funding):	5/22/2009	City:	Coon Rapids
Date Repaid ¹ :		State:	Minnesota

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	For the year ending December 31, 2009, our loan balances increased by approximately \$4,760m or nearly 12% over December 31, 2008. This loan growth would not have been possible without the capital provided through the Capital Purchase Program.
-------------------------------------	---	---

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	Home mortgage loans grew approximately \$700m. SBA loans grew approximately \$1,100m. Business loans grew approximately \$1,300m. Commercial real estate loans grew approximately \$1,500m. The remaining growth of approximately \$160m was in consumer loans.
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	
<input type="checkbox"/> Make other investments	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase reserves for non-performing assets	

<input type="checkbox"/> Reduce borrowings	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/> Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input type="checkbox"/> Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

Without the capital infusion of CPP funds we would not have been looking to fund any loan growth. The small amount of excess capital we had at the time of funding was being preserved to support our efforts in working out of problem loans. The additional capital gave us the confidence that we could do that and still look for loan growth. Therefore, the ultimate answer to the question is we did not have to deny credit requests for credit-worthy borrowers based on a scarcity of capital.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

Without the capital infusion of CPP funds we would not have been looking to fund any loan growth. The small amount of excess capital we had at the time of funding was being preserved to support our efforts in working out of problem loans. The additional capital gave us the confidence that we could do that and still look for loan growth. Therefore, the ultimate answer to the question is we approved many credit requests for credit-worthy borrowers based on the additional capital we had to support those transactions.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

All of our actions were focused on loan growth and providing credit in our community. There are no other actions to describe.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.